Comparative Exercise Physiology 6(2); 73–80 doi:10.1017/S1755254009990134

The effects of a post-workout nutraceutical drink on body composition, performance and hormonal and biochemical responses in Division I college football players

SM Arent[1,*], P Davitt[2], DL Golem[2], CA Williams[3], KH McKeever[3] and C Jaouhari[1]

[1]Department of Exercise Science & Sport Studies, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, 70 Lipman Drive, New Brunswick, NJ 08901-8525, USA
[2]Department of Nutritional Sciences, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, 26 Nichol Avenue, New Brunswick, NJ 08901-2882, USA
[3]Department of Animal Sciences, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, 84 Lipman Drive, New Brunswick, NJ 08901-8525, USA
* Corresponding author: shawn.arent@rutgers.edu

Submitted 14 August 2009: Accepted 14 September 2009 **Research Paper**

Abstract
Football players walk a fine line between optimal training and overtraining. Manipulating nutrient intake has the potential to maximize the biochemical environment necessary to induce peak performance and proper recovery. The purpose of this study was to examine the impact of supplementing the diet of Division I football players with a proprietary nutraceutical recovery drink on changes in performance, body composition, anabolic status, muscle damage, inflammation and oxidative stress over the course of a 7-week conditioning period immediately prior to preseason camp. At the beginning (trial 1) and end (trial 2) of a 7-week training phase, body composition, vertical jump and 225 lb bench press were assessed in Division I college football players ($n = 25$). A 30 s Wingate Anaerobic Test plus eight 10 s intervals was used to examine power and biochemical responses. Blood samples were collected pre-, 0 and 60 min post-test for analysis of interleukin-6 (IL), 8-isoprostane (8-iso), cortisol (CORT) and resting testosterone:CORT (T:C) ratios. Athletes were randomly assigned to either an experimental group (EXP) receiving the nutraceutical drink ($n = 13$) or a control group (CON) receiving an isocaloric equivalent ($n = 12$). EXP had a significantly greater increase in peak power ($P < 0.05$) and significant decreases in percentage body fat and fat mass ($P < 0.05$). Multivariate ANOVA for repeated measures (RM MANOVA) revealed a significant test × time × group interaction ($P < 0.05$) for changes in CORT, IL-6 and 8-iso from trial 1 to trial 2. Follow-ups revealed no significant differences between groups at trial 1 for any of the variables. At trial 2, EXP had significantly lower CORT at rest ($P = 0.01$) and 60 min post-test ($P = 0.001$). Additionally, IL-6 was significantly different between EXP and CON at 0 ($P < 0.01$) and 60 min post-test ($P < 0.01$), with CON having an elevated IL-6 response. There were also differences in both 8-iso and creatine kinase at all time points at trial 2, with CON having higher levels ($P < 0.02$.). There were significant differences between groups in T:C ratio changes ($P < 0.05$), with EXP having an improved T:C ratio. It appears that supplementing the post-workout diet of Division I college football players with a nutraceutical recovery drink has favourable effects on body composition, peak power output and biochemical markers. Based on differences between groups that emerged at rest at trial 2, it appears that this supplement positively impacts both acute and chronic physiological responses indicative of improved recovery.

Keywords: antioxidant; ergogenic aids; anaerobic power; oxidative stress; hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis; superoxide dismutase

© Cambridge University Press 2009

Introduction

Preparatory-phase workouts serve to condition the athlete for the pending season and ready them for the training and competitions that their sport demands while trying to avoid inducing overtraining syndrome. As predominantly anaerobic athletes, football players are looking to utilize their preseason training to maximize both power and strength. This is partially accomplished through increasing lean body mass (LBM) while simultaneously minimizing any accompanying gains in (or reducing) fat mass (FM). In order to accomplish this task, the athletes must have a positive protein turnover and attain a net anabolic state. Under intense training conditions and without proper nutrition, college football players run the risk of experiencing an increase in the catabolic environment that can result from insufficient recovery, thus limiting performance gains.

Of the physiological markers studied in anaerobic athletes, the hormones cortisol (CORT) and testosterone (TEST) are useful indicators of catabolism and anabolism, respectively. CORT is released following varying stressors such as an intense, acute exercise bout. Prolonged elevation of this catabolic hormone is indicative of chronic stress and can, in part, lead to overtraining and decreased performance[1]. TEST, on the other hand, is an anabolic hormone that plays a key role in the tissue-building process, which is a pivotal aspect of any anaerobic training plan. When TEST is low, an athlete will not recover as rapidly and the training load will typically need to be reduced in order to prevent overtraining. Perhaps, more important than either one of these hormones alone is the ratio of TEST to CORT (T:C). The T:C ratio is an indication of the net anabolic status within the body[2,3]. It appears that the T:C ratio is a key indicator of the chronic physiological strain of the training programme[3]. In addition to the T:C ratio, research has begun to consider exploring the use of oxidative stress and various inflammatory markers to track the breakdown of muscle tissue and the ensuing recovery process following exercise.

Structural damage to the muscle fibre is a consequence of high-intensity training.

Calcium, myoglobin, troponin-I and creatine kinase (CK) are all contractile elements whose appearance in the periphery has been found to be related to minute tears in the muscle tissue[4]. Of these markers, CK is commonly employed as an indicator of muscle breakdown or membrane disruption[5].

Cytokines, specifically interleukin-6 (IL-6), have been proposed to mediate several of the body's physiological responses to exercise[6]. IL-6 is believed to play an important role in triggering the acute immune response due to exercise-induced muscle injury[7]. Also, IL-6 exhibits a graded response to exercise intensity and will further be enhanced when glycogen stores are being used[6,8]. This implies that repeated high-intensity anaerobic exercise would result in a greater secretion of IL-6.

Performing high-intensity workouts that are near maximal capacity can result in increased oxidative stress[9,10]. While reactive oxygen species are a natural reaction by-product of cellular respiration, their formation increases as the intensity of exercise increases[9,10]. Oxidative stress has been linked to muscle damage, fatigue and lipid peroxidation, all of which can delay muscle recovery and negatively affect performance[9,10]. The high stress of anaerobic exercise is sufficient enough to overload the body with free radicals brought about by oxidative stress[11]. Supplementation with antioxidants has been investigated to determine their impact on oxidative stress. Though an increased need for antioxidants is evident as exercise intensity increases, very little attention has been focused on the anaerobic athlete and performance[10]. An alternative to antioxidant supplementation is enhancement of endogenous antioxidant capacity through positive changes in the antioxidant enzymes glutathione peroxidase and superoxide dismutase (SOD)[12,13]. Recent research has suggested that direct supplementation with SOD may be highly effective at combating oxidative stress and enhancing endogenous resources.

The SOD (Glisodin®) found in the blend tested in this study is unique, in that it is the first commercially available source of absorbable SOD[14]. Research using this form of SOD has demonstrated antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties[15,16]. This oral form of SOD is part of a proprietary antioxidant/anti-catabolic nutraceutical mixture that has been incorporated into dietary supplements (Resurgex® and Resurgex Plus®) that were developed to improve immune functioning, spare lean muscle and reduce oxidative stress in patients suffering from muscle-wasting diseases. A recent study using Resurgex® demonstrated performance enhancement through an attenuated lactate response, increased time to fatigue and reduced resting CK levels in collegiate soccer players[17]. However, no research has been published on performance and biochemical effects in the anaerobic athlete.

The purpose of this study is to examine the impact of supplementing the diet of Division I football players with a proprietary recovery drink and nutraceutical blend on changes in performance, LBM, anabolic status, muscle damage, inflammation and oxidative stress over the course of a 7-week conditioning period immediately prior to preseason camp. It is hypothesized that off-season training in college football players will result in improvements in fitness, body composition and anabolic profile, and that

supplementing with Resurgex Fusion® will enhance these effects compared with an isocaloric control. It is also hypothesized that those athletes receiving Resurgex Fusion® will demonstrate lower oxidative stress, inflammation and muscle damage compared with an isocaloric control in response to a maximal anaerobic exercise test.

Methods

Subjects

Members ($n = 25$; $M_{weight} = 112.5 \pm 4.1$ kg; $M_{\%BF} = 19.9 \pm 7.2\%$) of a Division I college football team were asked to participate in the study. Risks and benefits were explained to the subjects and each of them gave written informed consent prior to participation in the study. All athletes must have been free from current injuries limiting their ability to train and complete physiological testing as determined by the Rutgers University Sports Medicine staff. Athletes with reported wheat allergies were excluded due to the gliadin polymer used in the SOD component of the experimental supplement.

Study design and supplementation

Performance tests were administered over two separate consecutive days both at the beginning (trial 1) and end (trial 2) of summer conditioning leading up to preseason camp. Trials 1 and 2 were separated by 7 weeks and consisted of a structured workout regimen guided by the football strength staff. Following trial 1, the athletes were matched on playing position and LBM and randomly assigned to either EXP receiving Resurgex Fusion® (Millennium Biotechnologies Inc., Basking Ridge, NJ, USA) or CON receiving an isocaloric equivalent (Gatorade Nutrition Shakes®) without the proprietary nutraceutical blend (see Table 1). The formulation is similar to that used in a previous study on collegiate soccer players[17] with macronutrient ratios modified for optimal performance and ingredients tailored to recent NCAA regulations. In order to keep the athletes blind to the group assignment, the drinks were premixed and administered daily in generic, unlabelled bottles by the research team. The researchers administered the drinks and monitored consumption compliance daily after workout sessions in the football training facility. A 3-day dietary recall log was used for each subject prior to each trial and analysed using commercially available dietary analysis software (FoodWorks, Xyris Software, Highgate Hill, QL, Australia). The 3-day duration of dietary recall has previously been validated to accurately portray reliable energy and nutrient consumption data[18]. After accounting for supplement nutrient profiles, there were no significant differences between groups in nutrient and caloric consumption (all $P > 0.50$).

At the first testing day of each trial, subjects were tested for anaerobic power (vertical jump (VJ)) and muscular endurance (225 lb bench press (BP) for reps). At the second day of each trial, athletes had body composition assessed and blood samples were obtained before, immediately after and 1 h after a Wingate Anaerobic Test (WAnT)[19] for later analysis of oxidative stress markers (8-isoprostane (8-iso) $PGF_{2\alpha}$, muscle breakdown (CK)), hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis activation (CORT) and inflammatory cytokine (IL-6). Pre-test serum samples were used to assess resting TEST:CORT (T:C) ratios. Athletes were required to refrain from training for 18–24 h prior to each test, and each athlete was tested at the same time of day for each trial to control for diurnal variations.

Table 1 Comparison of the nutrient content of CON *versus* EXP recovery drinks per serving

	Control (Gatorade Nutrition Shake®)	Experimental (Resurgex Fusion®)
Volume (ml)	325	325
Calories (kcal)	368	354
Carbohydrate (g)	54	56
Protein (g)	20	20
Fat (g)	8	5.5
Nutraceutical blend		
CoQ10 (mg)	0	75
SOD/gliadin	0	500
Nucleotides (mg)	0	300
D-Ibose (mg)	0	1000
βGlucans (mg)	0	200
Hi OROC Vita Berry Blend (mg)	0	150
Fructo-oligosaccharide (mg)	0	200

Exercise test procedures

For each testing day, all athletes reported to the Rutgers University Human Performance Laboratory. Verbal confirmation assured that athletes arrived for testing normally hydrated, having eaten a high-carbohydrate meal provided as part of their training table approximately 2–3 h prior, and refrained from ingesting substances that could affect normal physiological functioning (i.e. tea, coffee, alcohol and nicotine). The design of the provided meals was based on the standard USDA macronutrient design that consists of 55–60% carbohydrate, 15–20% protein and 20–30% fat. On the first testing session of each trial, the athletes completed a 15–20 min warm-up consisting of a general systemic warm-up followed by a dynamic range of motion exercises before being tested on VJ followed by the BP. VJ was assessed using a Vertec measuring device (Sports Imports, Columbus, OH, USA). Subjects completed three efforts with 60–90 s rest following each trial. The highest of the three

jumps was recorded. After completing the VJ, the athletes then completed a standard upper body muscular endurance test for football players (the 225 lb BP for reps). After two to three warm-up sets, the subjects were given a 4–5 min rest before attempting the test. The score consisted of the total number of repetitions completed in good form before momentary muscular failure. On the second day of testing, body composition was assessed using air displacement plethysmography (i.e. BOD POD, Life Measurement, Inc., Concord, CA, USA). Following this, each athlete rested in a supine position for 10 min before commencing with the pre-test blood draw. Blood samples were also obtained immediately following completion of the exercise test and at 60 min post-test with the subject in a supine position.

Subjects performed the WAnT protocol on the second testing day of trial 1 and trial 2 on a Monark 894E Anaerobic Test Ergometer (Monark Exercise AB, Vansbro, Sweden). The load was set according to each subject's weight[20]. The test consisted of a 30 s WAnT followed by 5 min of rest and then eight 10 s intervals using the same load. Each interval was separated by 2 min of rest. The resistance was set at 0.075 kp kg^{-1} body weight.

Performance measures

Peak power during the WAnT was defined as the highest mechanical power output elicited during each 30 s test. Mean power was calculated based on the average mechanical power produced during the test. Maximal VJ height was used to establish power and the number of repetitions completed for the 225 lb BP-constituted scores for muscular endurance.

Body composition

Percentage body fat (%BF) was calculated through a two-stage procedure. Body volume was measured *via* air displacement plethysmography using the BOD POD (Life Measurement, Inc.), as described in previous literature[21]. Using the BOD POD, the error of body volume reading is roughly 0.02%, which allows for calculation of %BF with only 0.01% error[21]. In addition to %BF, LBM and FM were also calculated. Height and weight were recorded in conjunction with body composition assessment.

Biochemical measures

Before, immediately after and 60 min after each WAnT + intervals test, blood samples were collected *via* an indwelling cannula inserted into an antecubital vein using a vacutainer system (Becton Dickinson, Rutherford, NJ, USA). Approximately 10 ml were collected in a serum separator tube and 10 ml in an EDTA-coated tube. After removing a 1 ml aliquot of whole blood for haemoglobin and haematocrit analysis in order to correct for plasma volume changes, plasma for 8-iso assays was obtained by centrifugation of whole blood in the EDTA tubes at $3000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C. The serum separator tubes were left to stand for 30 min to facilitate clotting before being centrifuged at $3500 \times g$ for 15 min at 4°C in order to obtain serum for CK, IL-6, TEST and CORT analysis. Aliquots of blood, serum and plasma were placed in microvials and stored at − 80°C until analysis of the dependent measures. The storage tubes for 8-iso were pre-coated with 200 μg butylated hydroxytoluene. All assays were performed in duplicate.

IL-6 was determined *via* ELISA using a commercial kit (IBL, Hamburg, Germany). Serum CK was analysed using a CK/NAC kinetic assay (Stanbio Laboratory, Boerne, TX, USA). Serum TEST and CORT were analysed using RIA (MP Biomedicals, Irvine, CA, USA).

In order to analyse plasma-free 8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$, plasma from the EDTA tubes was first purified by diluting the sample in a 1:5 ratio with Eicosanoid Affinity Column Buffer (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). A known amount of tritiated 8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$ was added prior to purification in order to determine recovery rates. Ethanol was added to the solution and the sample was chilled at 4°C for 5 min to precipitate proteins, and then centrifuged at $1500 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was decanted and the remaining ethanol evaporated under a nitrogen stream. The pH was then lowered to 4.0 using dropwise addition of HCl. Samples were then passed through a C-18 affinity column (Cayman Chemical) previously activated with methanol and ultra-pure water. Following addition of the sample, the column was washed with 5 ml ultra-pure water followed by 5 ml HPLC-grade hexane (Sigma Chemical, St Louis, MO, USA). The sample was then eluted with 5 ml of an ethyl acetate–methanol solution (Cayman Chemical). The elution solution solvents were evaporated again under nitrogen, and the samples were then reconstituted in 450 μl EIA buffer (Cayman Chemical). For each purified sample, 50 μl were analysed using a commercially available 8-iso EIA kit (Cayman Chemical), with each sample assayed in duplicate. Absorbance values were determined with a SpectraMax 340 microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) between 405 and 420 nm and the raw data corrected using the recovery rates of tritiated $PGF_{2\alpha}$.

Statistical analysis

Separate MANOVAs were used to assess effects of training and supplementation on changes in performance variables (WAnT peak power, WAnT average power, VJ and BP) as well as changes in body composition variables (%BF, LBM and FM).

Significant multivariate effects were followed by univariate follow-up tests.

A 2 × 3 × 2 (trial × time × group) MANOVA with repeated measures on the first two factors was conducted to assess the effects of training and supplementation on CORT, IL-6, 8-iso and CK. Univariate follow-up tests for each variable were conducted in the event of a significant multivariate effect. Simple effects of group within time were used to compare EXP and CON responses at each time point at each trial. A separate 2 × 2 (group × trial) RM ANOVA was used to examine differences in T:C ratio. Simple effects of trial within group were used to examine changes for EXP and CON.

Effect sizes (ES) were calculated to compare magnitude of changes in the EXP and CON groups using Hedges' g formula for ES computation. This ES computation was used for all variables. Group data are expressed as mean ± SD and statistical significance was set at the $P < 0.05$ level. Analyses were conducted using the SPSS 16.0 statistical program.

Results

Performance

MANOVA revealed significant group differences for changes in performance from trial 1 to trial 2 ($P = 0.008$). Univariate follow-ups indicated that there were significant differences between EXP and CON for changes in peak power ($P = 0.021$) and VJ ($P = 0.016$). For EXP, peak power increased significantly from trial 1 to trial 2 (1.9 ± 0.5 W kg^{-1}, ES = 0.96, $P < 0.001$; See Fig. 1), but there was no change in VJ (−0.1 ± 0.6 cm, ES = 0.0, $P = 0.88$). For CON, there was no change in peak power (0.1 ± 0.5 W kg^{-1}, ES = 0.14, $P = 0.68$), but there was a significant decrease in VJ (−2.5 ± 0.7 cm, ES = −0.2, $P = 0.012$). There were no differences found between the treatment groups for BP repetitions ($P = 0.712$) and average power ($P = 0.967$).

Body composition

The EXP (0.66 ± 0.25 kg) and CON (0.75 ± 0.45 kg) groups had similar increases in LBM ($P = 0.84$). However, this change was only significant for the EXP group from trial 1 to trial 2 ($P = 0.021$, ES = 0.7). There were significant differences between groups for changes in %BF ($P = 0.031$) and FM ($P = 0.031$). EXP had a decrease in both %BF (−0.8 ± 0.4%, ES = −0.1) and FM (−1.0 ± 0.6 kg, ES = −0.95), while CON demonstrated an increase in both %BF (0.6 ± 0.4%, ES = 0.1) and FM (0.9 ± 0.6 kg, ES = 0.89).

Hormonal and biochemical responses

There was a significant multivariate test × time × group interaction ($P = 0.011$) for CORT, IL-6, 8-iso and CK. Follow-ups indicated significant test × group interactions for CORT ($P = 0.023$), IL-6 ($P = 0.013$), 8-iso ($P < 0.001$) and CK ($P = 0.001$). There was also a significant test × time × group interaction for both CORT ($P = 0.042$) and IL-6 ($P = 0.01$).

Simple effects of group within time for both trial 1 and trial 2 revealed that there were no significant differences between EXP and CON for any of the variables at trial 1 ($P > 0.08$). At trial 2, however, a number of significant differences emerged between groups. For CORT, there were significant differences between EXP and CON at rest ($P = 0.01$; ES = −1.12) and at 60 min post-test ($P = 0.001$; ES = −1.55), with EXP having lower CORT at both time points (see Fig. 2). The response immediately post-exercise was not different ($P = 0.59$; ES = −0.23). For IL-6, there were significant



Fig. 1 Wingate Anaerobic Test peak power output at trial 1 and trial 2 for experimental (EXP) *versus* control (CON). Data (mean ± SE) are expressed as W kg^{-1}. Peak power significantly increased from trial 1 to trial 2 in the EXP group. * represents $P < 0.001$ difference from baseline within condition



Fig. 2 Cortisol concentration pre-, 0 (0 post) and 60 min post-test (60 post) at trial 1 (T1) and trial 2 (T2) for experimental (EXP) *versus* control (CON). Data (mean ± SE) are expressed as µg dl^{-1}. EXP had lower cortisol secretion compared with CON at both pre-test and 60 min post-test at trial 2. * represents $P < 0.05$ difference between conditions within time; ** represents $P < 0.01$ difference between conditions within time



FIG. 3 Interleukin-6 production pre-, 0 (0 post) and 60 min post-test (60 post) at trial 1 (T1) and trial 2 (T2) for experimental (EXP) *versus* control (CON). Data (mean ± SE) are expressed as pg ml^{-1}. EXP had significantly lower IL-6 levels at 0 and 60 min post-test compared with CON at trial 2. ** represents $P < 0.005$ difference between conditions within time

differences between EXP and CON at 0 ($P = 0.003$; ES = 1.3) and 60 min ($P = 0.004$; ES = 1.3) post-test (see Fig. 3). CON had significantly higher 8-iso responses at all time points compared with EXP (pre-test: $P = 0.008$, ES = 1.16; 0 min post-test: $P = 0.006$, ES = 1.2; 60 min post-test: $P = 0.016$, ES = 1.04; see Fig. 4). Differences in CK responses emerged between EXP and CON at all time points, with CON having higher CK values (pre-test: $P = 0.017$, ES = 1.03; 0 min post-test: $P = 0.02$, ES = 1.0; 60 min post-test: $P = 0.014$, ES = 1.06).

Results of the RM ANOVA revealed a group × time interaction for T:C ratio ($P = 0.029$). From trial 1 to trial 2, EXP improved T:C ratio (trial 1: 0.25 ± 0.02; trial 2: 0.31 ± 0.03; ES = 0.72), while CON had a reduction in T:C ratio (trial 1: 0.23 ± 0.03; trial 2: 0.20 ± 0.02; ES = −0.3).



FIG. 4 8-Isoprostane levels pre-, 0 (0 post) and 60 min post-test (60 post) at trial 1 (T1) and trial 2 (T2) for experimental (EXP) *versus* control (CON). Data (mean ± SE) are expressed as pg ml^{-1}. At trial 2, CON had significantly higher 8-isoprostane levels at pre-, 0 and 60 min post-test compared with EXP. * represents $P < 0.05$ difference between conditions within time; ** represents $P < 0.01$ difference between conditions within time

Discussion

It appears that supplementing the post-workout diet of Division I college football players with a protein, carbohydrate and nutraceutical recovery drink has favourable effects on body composition, peak power output and biochemical markers. Results revealed that the EXP had significantly greater improvements in peak power, %BF, FM, T:C ratio, inflammation and HPA recovery. Throughout the 7-week training period, both groups were actively conditioning for the upcoming football preseason. LBM improvements were seen in both groups However, CON gained FM and increased %BF, while EXP showed decreases in both variables. The resultant changes in FM and %BF may be indicative of an enhanced anabolic environment in EXP, particularly considering the differences in the T:C ratios between the two groups. EXP had a significantly improved T:C ratio at trial 2, which implies that they were in an anabolic state. On the other hand, CON actually saw a decrease in their T:C ratio at trial 2 compared with trial 1, suggesting a potentially more catabolic state. A quicker return to an anabolic state was supported by a faster acute HPA recovery in EXP following interval testing as well.

The CORT response in both groups was similar immediately following the WAnT + intervals at trial 1. However, by the end of 7 weeks of training and supplementation, at 60 min post-exercise, there was a significant improvement in CORT clearance for EXP compared with CON. It is important to note that the enhanced HPA recovery occurred despite similar CORT responses immediately following the WAnT + intervals. This indicates similar stressor intensity across the groups and also suggests that supplementation did not interfere with the ability to maximally engage HPA response to allow for peak performance. This enhanced HPA axis recuperation could offer an explanation for the improved performance and body composition. The reduced time spent in a net catabolic state post-exercise could also be partially responsible for the greater power at trial 2 for EXP. Lastly, the enhanced ability to reduce CORT concentration following exercise may impact the suppression of the immune response associated with glucocorticoids[22].

The enhanced recovery seen in the EXP may have been responsible for reducing the severity of muscle breakdown at the conclusion of the 7-week training cycle. The attenuated plasma CK levels of the EXP at all time points during trial 2 may be explained through some protective functions provided by the supplement in terms of membrane stability. During intense exercise, muscle cell membranes are subject

to damage and microtears, which may allow for the leakage of CK and other cytosolic and myofibrillar proteins. Additionally, the actions of neutrophils may mediate the release of this and other cytosolic enzymes due to ruptures they caused in the membranes[23]. Additionally, any reduction in oxidative damage as a result of the antioxidant blend in the supplement may act to retain the continuity of the sarcolemma. This bolstering effect on the cell's integrity may help to minimize or eliminate the occurrence of delayed onset muscle soreness. As has previously been discussed, evidence of excess-free radical production in the body can be associated with impaired immune function, soreness, fatigue and injury[24]. It is notable that 8-iso levels were lower even at baseline at trial 2 for EXP, suggesting chronic reductions in oxidative stress[25]. Additionally, the 8-iso response was further attenuated in EXP following the WAnT + intervals. This response would facilitate improved recovery between training sessions, improved membrane function and stability, and potentially adaptive functioning of the HPA axis given the established link between oxidative stress and CORT secretion[17]. The attenuated 'stress response' was further reflected in the cytokine (IL-6) values observed for the two groups.

Compared with trial 1 as well as CON, EXP experienced a diminished IL-6 response both at 0 and 60 min post-test at trial 2. The magnitude of the difference between EXP and CON at these time points was very large, as indicated by the ES of 1.3. The hastened reduction in IL-6 following testing would suggest that supplementation allowed for a faster recovery from the inflammatory response associated with high-intensity anaerobic exercise. This potentially translates to fewer recovery days needed by the athlete, thus allowing for a greater training stimulus and better performance. It is important to note that the inflammatory response still occurred, as some amount of inflammation may be necessary for the physiological adaptations to exercise to transpire[5,26]. The inflammation was merely dissipated by the body at an accelerated rate for EXP following the supplementation period. There was also remarkable coherence between the pattern of results for IL-6, 8-iso and CORT. This illustrates the important physiological overlap of the inflammatory, oxidative stress and HPA axis responses.

Previous findings for Glisodin supplementation[15,16] suggest that the hormonal environment may be further enhanced by reductions in oxidative stress response. Throughout the course of an intense preseason training regimen, this combined effect may improve recovery. The results from this study clearly indicate that reducing the oxidative stress and inflammatory responses to high-intensity anaerobic training improves recovery and permits more frequent training at a productive intensity to enable performance gains.

The encouraging findings with regard to the use of Resurgex Fusion® for improving performance, recovery, body composition and biochemical status of the anaerobic athlete warrant further investigation into the use of this product with other power athletes of this calibre and higher levels over longer periods of supplementation. With enhanced recovery following rigorous workout sessions, an overall greater workload in terms of volume and/or intensity may potentially be applied. With decreased damage to the muscle fibres themselves, overtraining and overuse injuries are also not as likely to occur, thus resulting in more consistent training over time and improved performance. Resurgex Fusion® supplementation provided improvement in acute recovery after high-intensity anaerobic testing, which translated into long-term benefits of improved body composition, strength and performance.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by a grant from Millennium Biotechnologies, Inc. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement of the product by the authors or by CEP. The authors are grateful to the athletes for their participation and cooperation. We would also like to thank Joseph Pellegrino, Meghan Senso, Meryl Epstein and Daniel Freidenreich for their assistance with recruitment and data collection. The authors also thank Jay Butler and Dr Robert Monaco for their assistance with the study.

References

1 Crewther B, Keogh J, Cronin J and Cook C (2006). Possible stimuli for strength and power adaptation: acute hormonal responses. *Sports Medicine* **36**: 215–238.
2 Urhausen A and Kindermann W (2002). Diagnosis of overtraining: what tools do we have? *Sports Medicine* **32**: 95–102.
3 Reilly T and Ekblom B (2005). The use of recovery methods post exercise. *Journal of Sports Science* **23**: 619–627.
4 Heled Y, Bloom M, Wu T, Stephens Q and Deuster P (2007). CM-MM and ACE genotypes and physiological prediction of the creatine kinase response to exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology* **103**: 504–510.
5 Clarkson P and Hubal M (2002). Exercise-induced muscle damage in humans. *American Journal of Physiological and Medical Rehabilitation* **8**: 52–69.
6 Pedersen B, Steensberg A, Fischer C, Keller C, Keller P, Plomgaard P, *et al*. (2004). The metabolic role of IL-6 produced during exercise: is IL-6 an exercise factor? *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society* **63**: 263–267.
7 Willoughby D, Vanenk C and Taylor L (2003). Effects of concentric and eccentric contractions on exercise-induced muscle injury, inflammation, and serum IL-6. *Journal of Exercise Physiology* **6**: 8–15.
8 Helge J, Stallknecht B, Pedersen B, Galbo H, Kiens B and Richter E (2003). The effect of graded exercise on IL-6 release and glucose uptake in human skeletal muscle. *The Journal of Physiology* **546**: 299–305.

9 Powers SK and Jackson MJ (2008). Exercise-induced oxidative stress: cellular mechanisms and impact on muscle force production. *Physiological Reviews* **88**: 1243–1276.

10 Urso ML and Clarkson PM (2003). Oxidative stress, exercise, and antioxidant supplementation. *Toxicology* **189**: 41–54.

11 Finaud J, Scislowski V, Lac G, Durand D, Vidalin H, Robert A and Filaire E (2006). Antioxidant status and oxidative stress in professional rugby players: evolution throughout a season. *International Journal of Sports Medicine* **27**: 87–93.

12 Cavas L and Tarhan L (2004). Effects of vitamin–mineral supplementation on cardiac marker and radical scavenging enzymes, and MDA levels in young swimmers. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism* **14**: 133–146.

13 Pilaczynska-Szczesniak L, Skarpanska-Steinborn A, Deskur E, Basta P and Horoszkiewicz-Hassan M (2005). The influence of chokeberry juice supplementation on the reduction of oxidative stress resulting from an incremental rowing ergometer exercise. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism* **15**: 48–58.

14 Vouldoukis I, Lacan D, Kamate C, Coste P, Calenda A, Mazier D, *et al*. (2004). Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of a Cucumis melo LC. extract rich in superoxide dismutase activity. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* **94**: 67–75.

15 Vouldoukis I, Conti M, Krauss P, Kamate C, Blazquez S, Tefit M, *et al*. (2004). Supplementation with gliadin-combined plant superoxide dismutase extract promotes antioxidant defenses and protects against oxidative stress. *Phytotherapy Research* **18**: 957–962.

16 Muth C, Glenz Y, Klaus M, Radermacher P, Speit G and Leverve X (2004). Influence of an orally effective SOD on hyperbaric oxygen-related cell damage. *Free Radical Research* **38**: 927–932.

17 Arent SM, Pellegrino J, Williams CA, DiFabio D and Greenwood J (in press). Nutritional supplementation, performance, and oxidative stress in college soccer players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*.

18 Ma Y, Olendzki BC, Pagoto SL, Hurley TG, Magner RP, Ockene IS, *et al*. (2009). Number of 24-hour diet recalls needed to estimate energy intake. *Annals of Epidemiology* **19**: 553–559.

19 Bar-Or O (1987). The Wingate anaerobic test: An update on methodology, reliability, and validity. *Sports Medicine* **4**: 381–394.

20 Üçok K, Gökbel H and Okudan N (2005). The load for the Wingate test: According to the body weight or lean body mass. *European Journal of General Medicine* **2**: 10–13.

21 Dempster P and Aitkens S (1995). A new air displacement method for the determination of human body composition. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* **27**: 1692–1697.

22 Davison G, Gleeson M and Phillips S (2007). Antioxidant supplementation and immunoenndocrine responses to prolonged exercise. *Medicine and Science of Sports and Exercise* **39**: 645–652.

23 Teixeira C, Chaves F, Zamunér S, Fernandes C, Zuliani J, Cruz-Hofling M, *et al*. (2005). Effects of neutrophil depletion in the local pathological alterations and muscle regeneration in mice injected with *Bothrops jararaca* snake venom. *International Journal of Experimental Pathology* **86**: 107–115.

24 Groussard C, Rannou-Bekono F, Machefer G, Chevanne M, Vincent S, Sergent O, *et al*. (2003). Changes in blood lipid peroxidation markers and antioxidants after a single sprint anaerobic exercise. *European Journal of Applied Physiology* **89**: 14–20.

25 Montuschi P, Barnes P and Roberts LJ II (2007). Insights into oxidative stress: the isoprostanes. *Current Medicinal Chemistry* **14**: 703–717.

26 Tidball J (2005). Inflammatory processes in muscle injury and repair. *American Journal of Physiological Regulatory, Integrative, and Comparative Physiology* **288**: 345–353.

Nutritional Supplementation, Performance, and Oxidative Stress in College Soccer Players

Shawn M. Arent,[1] Joseph K. Pellegrino,[1] Carey A. Williams,[2] David A. DiFabio,[1] and John C. Greenwood[1]

[1]*Department of Exercise Science and Sport Studies, Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA; and* [2]*Department of Animal Sciences, Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA*

Abstract

Arent, SM, Pellegrino, JK, Williams, CA, DiFabio, DA, and Greenwood, JC. Nutritional supplementation, performance, and oxidative stress in college soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res* 24(4): 1117–1124, 2010—The purpose of this study was to examine changes in performance and metabolic parameters in collegiate soccer players during preseason preparation and to determine the impact of a nutraceutical blend proposed to reduce oxidative stress. Male Division I college soccer players ($n = 22$) performed a progressive maximal treadmill test at the beginning and end of preseason to assess changes in $\dot{V}O_2max$, velocity at lactate threshold (V_{LT}), time-to-exhaustion, lipid hydroperoxide (LPO), 8-isoprostane, and creatine kinase (CK) response. After baseline testing, athletes were randomly assigned to receive the nutraceutical blend (EXP; $n = 12$) or an isocaloric equivalent (CON; $n = 10$) for 20 days of preseason training. $\Delta\dot{V}O_2max$ (2.1 ± 3.3 ml·kg^{-1}·min^{-1}, $p = 0.007$), ΔV_{LT} (0.8 ± 1.4 km·h^{-1}, $p = 0.045$), and Δtime-to-exhaustion (39.4 ± 77.4 seconds, $p = 0.033$) were improved across groups, but a significant effect of supplementation on performance was not seen. Changes in resting levels of CK from the beginning to end of preseason were significantly lower ($p = 0.044$) in EXP (64.8 ± 188.4 U·L^{-1}) than in CON (292.8 ± 304.8 U·L^{-1}). Additionally, EXP demonstrated a significant decrease in the magnitude of the 8-isoprostane response at Trial 2 compared with Trial 1 (effect size [ES] $= -0.74$), whereas CON had an increased response (ES $= 0.20$). A similar pattern was seen for LPO ($p = 0.067$). Preseason training in male college soccer players resulted in significant improvements in $\dot{V}O_2max$, V_{LT}, and time-to-exhaustion. Supplementing with a proprietary antioxidant and nutraceutical blend may enhance some of these effects as indicated by magnitude of the responses. However, it appears that the most notable effects of supplementation were seen for reduced CK and oxidative stress, at least with short-term supplementation.

Key Words antioxidant, lactate threshold, 8-isoprostane, reactive oxygen species, superoxide dismutase

Address correspondence to Dr. Shawn M. Arent, shawn.arent@rutgers.edu.

24(4)/1117–1124

Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research
© *2010 National Strength and Conditioning Association*

Introduction

Preseason training places an extreme demand on athletes requiring them to engage in frequent high-intensity workouts with limited time devoted to recovery. This is particularly pronounced in many college sports, especially fall–season sports, faced with trying to maximize athlete readiness during progressively shortened preparatory periods. College soccer players, for instance, typically have only a few weeks to train as a team before dealing with the rigors of the competitive season and therefore engage in multiple high-intensity, high-volume sessions per day during the preseason period. Furthermore, peak performance is not just determined by the soccer-specific conditioning that takes place in preparation for the season, but also by the ability of the neuromuscular and endocrine systems to recover and adapt after the loads placed on them (16).

Even without the added preseason stressors, soccer itself is physiologically demanding. The athletes need to possess aerobic endurance, power, speed, and strength simultaneously. Elite soccer players spend a considerable portion of a match at intensities averaging 80–90% of HR_{max} and rely on anaerobic metabolism and power during brief burst of sprinting, kicking, and jumping (27). They need to be able to perform near maximal capacity for extended periods, which results in increased oxidative stress (1). Although the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is a natural by-product of cellular respiration, their formation increases as the intensity of exercise increases (1). Oxidative stress has been related to fatigue and muscle damage (2,8), and increased recovery time (1), all of which can negatively impact performance. Furthermore, decreased mitochondrial

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

efficiency has been indicated as a potential side effect of repeated cell membrane peroxidation (16).

Although exercise training can enhance endogenous antioxidant resources, these protective mechanisms are typically insufficient to adequately deal with ROS resulting from high-intensity or prolonged intermittent aerobic or anaerobic exercise (4,13). Because of this, various antioxidant or anticatabolic supplements have been investigated to determine their potential use in the protection from oxidative stress, corresponding muscle damage, or resultant performance decrements. Perhaps the compounds that have received the most attention as potential ergogenic aids because they relate to the mitigation of oxidative stress due to exercise are antioxidants such as vitamins A, C, and E. There is evidence that the requirements for these antioxidants increase with exercise (13). Previous research has demonstrated that antioxidants, obtained through either antioxidant-rich diets or antioxidant supplementation, can reduce the lipid hydroperoxide (LPO) response (14) and attenuate exercise-induced muscle damage (22), indicating reduced oxidative stress. These effects appear to be most pronounced when multiple antioxidant sources are combined, suggesting a synergistic effect (23). Furthermore, these findings have been shown to extend to polyphenols and other phytochemicals high in antioxidant capacity (24,31). It has been suggested that the reduced oxidative response may be achieved by enhancing the organism's own endogenous antioxidant capacity, as evidenced by positive changes in the antioxidant enzymes glutathione peroxidase and superoxide dismutase (SOD) (5,24). Recent research has also indicated that direct supplementation with SOD may be possible and highly effective at combating oxidative stress and enhancing endogenous resources.

Gastric breakdown has been the primary limitation for providing orally administered forms of antioxidant enzymes, such as SOD (25). However, recent advancements using a wheat gliadin polymer system to coat plant-derived (*Cucumis melo*) SOD appears to have circumvented this problem and provided an effective oral form of SOD (Glisodin®). Research using this form of SOD has demonstrated antioxidant and antiinflammatory properties (19,28,29). This orally available form of SOD is part of a proprietary antioxidant and anticatabolic nutriceutical mixture that has been incorporated into a dietary supplement (Resurgex® and Resurgex Plus®) that was developed to improve immune functioning, spare lean muscle, and reduce oxidative stress in patients suffering from muscle-wasting diseases. Both Resurgex® and Resurgex Plus® have been used as an adjunct to medical care in patients with HIV or AIDS, cancer, Hepatitis C, and other chronic illnesses, but they have received no direct testing on performance in high-level athletes despite the potential application as a nutritional aid.

The purpose of this study was to examine changes in performance, muscle damage markers, and oxidative stress in collegiate soccer players over the course of preseason preparation and to determine the impact of supplementing the diet with a proprietary nutriceutical blend. It was hypothesized that preseason training in college soccer players would result in improvements in fitness and that supplementing with Resurgex® would enhance these effects. It was also hypothesized that those athletes receiving Resurgex® would demonstrate lower oxidative stress and creatine kinase (CK) responses compared with an isocaloric control group in response to a maximal exercise test.

Methods

Experimental Approach to the Problem

This study was run as a blinded, placebo-controlled design using 24 fit male Division I college soccer players. Performance tests were administered at the beginning (Trial 1) and end (Trial 2) of preseason camp (during the month of August) 20 days apart using a progressive maximal treadmill test to exhaustion. Preseason was chosen because of the fact that the training stimulus during this period of time was extremely high and because all athletes were living off-campus in a hotel and eating each meal as a team. In this way, diet was controlled as much as possible without interfering with the team's preparation. A 3-day dietary recall log was also completed before each Trial and analyzed using commercially available dietary analysis software (FoodWorks, Xyris Software, Queensland, Australia). Because of the preseason status of the athletes, they could not limit activity the day preceding the posttest. However, they did not perform a training session on the day of the testing, and each athlete was tested at the same time of the day for each trial. After Trial 1, the athletes were matched on maximal oxygen consumption ($\dot{V}O_2max$) and randomly assigned to either an experimental group ($n = 12$) or a control group ($n = 12$), which were matched for calories. The supplement drinks were administered twice a day by the research team after morning and evening training. Approximately 5 minutes before (t_0) and 5 minutes after (t_1) each performance test, blood samples were collected. Dependent variables included lactate threshold (V_{LT}), $\dot{V}O_2max$, time-to-exhaustion, CK, LPO, and 8-isoprostane (8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$).

Subjects

Members of a men's Division I college soccer team ($n = 24$; $M_{age} = 19.5 \pm 1.5$ years.; $M_{height} = 175.5 \pm 7.3$ cm; and $M_{weight} = 74.8 \pm 7.3$ kg) volunteered to participate in the study. Risks and benefits were explained to the subjects, and each of them gave written informed consent before participation in the study. All athletes were free from current injuries limiting their ability to train and complete physiological testing. Goalkeepers were excluded from analysis because of different training demands. After baseline testing, athletes were matched on $\dot{V}O_2max$ and randomly assigned to either an experimental group ($n = 12$) or a control group ($n = 12$). Two participants in the control group were injured during the preseason and unable to complete posttesting. Therefore, all

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

analyses are based on 12 participants in the experimental group (M_{age} = 19.5 ± 0.4 years; M_{height} = 175.4 ± 2.6 cm; M_{weight} = 76.0 ± 2.0 kg) and 10 participants in the control group (M_{age} = 19.4 ± 0.4 years; M_{height} = 175.6 ± 1.4 cm; M_{weight} = 73.3 ± 2.1 kg). These procedures were approved by the Rutgers University Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects.

Procedures

Supplementation Protocol. Subjects in the experimental group received Resurgex Plus® (Millennium Biotechnologies Inc., Basking Ridge, NJ, USA), whereas those in the control group received an isocaloric equivalent without the proprietary nutriceutical blend. The nutriceutical blend in Resurgex Plus® consists of 75 mg CoQ_{10}, 500 U SOD/Gliadin, 1,750 mg ornithine ketoglutarate, 300 mg L-Carnitine, 100 mg nucleotides, 750 mg d-ribose, 500 mg L-glutamine, 100 mg beta glucans, 12.5 mg fruit polyphenols, and 1,750 mg branched chain amino acids (BCAA). To keep the athletes blind to the group assignment, the drinks were premixed and administered in generic, unlabeled bottles by the research team twice daily for 20 days after morning (1000–1100 hours) and evening (1900–2000 hours) training.

Exercise Test. On the day of each performance test, all athletes reported to the Rutgers University Human Performance Laboratory. Athletes were asked to arrive for testing normally hydrated and to refrain from ingesting substances that could affect normal physiological functioning (i.e., tea, coffee, alcohol, and nicotine). Subjects did not receive their assigned supplement on the day of each test to avoid acute effects.

The exercise performance test consisted of a graded maximal treadmill test to exhaustion. Athletes completed a series of 3-minute stages with 1-minute rest intervals between stages for the sampling of capillary blood to determine blood lactate values. The speed at Stage 1 was set at 8 $km\cdot h^{-1}$ and the grade was set at 1%. Speed was increased by 2 $km\cdot h^{-1}$ with each incremental stage, and grade was maintained at 1% throughout the test to maintain biomechanical demands similar to flat-level running during a soccer game. This process continued until volitional exhaustion. Heart rate was continuously monitored using a Polar S610 HR monitor (Polar Electro Co., Woodbury, NY, USA), and direct gas exchange was measured using a Max-1 gas analysis system (Physiodyne Instrument Corporation, Quogue, NY, USA).

Performance Measures. Capillary blood samples (5 μL) were taken from the fingertip at rest and at the end of each 3-minute stage to analyze blood lactate accumulation. The Lactate Scout (SensLab GmbH, Leipzig, Germany) portable analyzer was used to determine whole-blood lactate content. The Lactate Scout has previously demonstrated a variation coefficient between 3 and 8%. Lactate concentration was plotted against treadmill speed to determine the velocity at which lactate threshold (V_{LT}) occurred using the D_{MAX} method (6). $\dot{V}O_2$max was determined using the direct breath-by-breath gas exchange data from the Max-1 system (Physiodyne Instrument Corporation) and was established as the maximal average oxygen consumption over 30-second monitoring intervals. Additionally, total time spent running for each test was determined and used to establish total time-to-fatigue for each participant.

Biochemical Measures. Approximately 5 minutes before (t_0) and 5 minutes after (t_1) each performance test, blood samples were collected via venipuncture of a superficial forearm vein using a vacutainer system (Becton Dickinson, Rutherford, NJ, USA). Pretest blood draws were initiated after the subject had rested in a supine position for 30 minutes after arrival at the laboratory. Approximately 8 mL was collected in a serum separator tube, 8 mL in a sodium heparin coated tube, and 6 mL in an ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-coated tube. The serum separator tubes were placed on ice and left to stand for 30 minutes to facilitate clotting before being centrifuged at 3,500*g* for 15 minutes at 4°C to obtain serum for CK analysis, used as an indicator of muscle membrane permeability change. Samples collected in the sodium heparin and EDTA vacutainer tubes were centrifuged immediately at 3,500*g* for 15 minutes at 4°C to obtain plasma for later analysis of lipid peroxidation markers indicating oxidative stress (8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$ [8-isoprostane] and [LPOs]). It has been suggested (10) that F2-isoprostanes are promising biomarkers for examining the influence of antioxidant intake on chronic conditions. All samples were stored at −80°C until analysis of the dependent measures. Assays were performed in duplicate. Serum samples were shipped to Labcorp (Raritan, NJ, USA) on dry ice for analysis of serum CK using a kinetic spectrophotometric assay read at 340 nm (Test #: 001362).

To analyze plasma free 8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$, plasma from the EDTA tubes was first purified by diluting the sample in a 1:5 ratio with Eicosanoid affinity column buffer (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). A known amount of tritiated 8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$ was added before purification to determine recovery rates. Ethanol was added to the solution, and the sample was chilled at 4°C for 5 minutes to precipitate proteins and then centrifuged at 1,500*g* for 10 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was decanted, and the remaining ethanol was evaporated by vacuum centrifugation. The pH was lowered to 4.0 using dropwise addition of HCl. Samples were then passed through a C-18 affinity column (Cayman Chemical) previously activated with methanol and UltraPure water. After addition of the sample, the column was washed with 5 mL UltraPure water followed by 5 mL high performance liquid chromatography grade hexane (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO, USA). The sample was eluted with 5 mL of an ethyl acetate:methanol solution (Cayman Chemical). The elution solution solvents were evaporated again using vacuum centrifugation, and the samples were then reconstituted in 450 μL enzyme immunoassay (EIA) buffer (Cayman Chemical). For each purified sample, 50 μL

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

TABLE 1. Performance changes before (Trial 1) and after (Trial 2) preseason training for the experimental and control group (mean ± *SD*).*

	Experimental group ($n = 12$)			Control group ($n = 10$)		
	Trial 1	Trial 2	ES	Trial 1	Trial 2	ES
VLT ($km \cdot h^{-1}$)	11.58 ± 0.8	12.57 ± 1.3	0.93	11.96 ± 1.1	12.46 ± 1.1	0.44
Time-to-exhaustion (min)	16.44 ± 1.9	17.32 ± 1.6	0.51	16.95 ± 1.6	17.33 ± 1.4	0.26
$\dot{V}O_2max$ ($ml \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$)	49.83 ± 4.1	52.46 ± 5.1	0.57	49.57 ± 4.5	50.95 ± 3.6	0.34

*ES = effect size; VLT = velocity at lactate threshold; $\dot{V}O_2max$ = maximal oxygen consumption.

was analyzed using a commercially available 8-isoprostane EIA kit (Cayman Chemical), with each sample assayed in duplicate. Absorbance values were determined with a Spectramax 340 microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) between 405 and 420 nm and the raw data corrected using the recovery rates of tritiated $PGF_{2\alpha}$.

The LPO concentrations of plasma from the sodium heparin tubes were determined using the modified PCA-FOX assay (9). Each plasma sample was divided into a blank and a test sample. Catalase (Sigma Chemical) was then added to both to eliminate H_2O_2 interference, followed by incubation for 2 minutes at room temperature (21). After this, 20 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine HCl (Sigma Chemical) was used to reduce LPOs in the blank sample to their organic alcohols (21). UltraPure water was added to the test sample followed by incubation for 30 minutes at room temperature. All samples then received the PCA-FOX assay reagent consisting of 100 μM xylenol orange (MP Biomedicals, Aurora, OH, USA) and 20 μM ferrous ammonium sulfate (Sigma Chemical) in 110 mM perchloric acid (Fisher Scientific, Fairlong, NJ, USA) (9) and incubated at room temperature for 45 minutes. The reaction mixture was then centrifuged at 10,000*g* for 10 minutes. The supernatant was aliquotted into a micotiter plate and read at 560 nM with a Spectramax 340 microplate reader (Molecular Devices). Concentrations were determined by dividing the net absorbance by the molar extinction coefficient of lipid hydroperoxide in perchloric acid (9).

Statistical Analyses

A 2 × 2 (Group × Trial) multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) with repeated measures on the second factor was used to assess effects of training and supplementation on V_{LT}, $\dot{V}O_2max$, and time-to-exhaustion. Significant multivariate effects were followed by univariate follow-up tests.

To control for baseline values and examine the differences in overall response to the exercise tests, change scores were computed for each of the biochemical measures at each testing time (Trial 1 or Trial 2). Creatine kinase changes were examined using a 2 × 2 (Group × Trial) ANOVA with repeated measures on the second factor. A 2 × 2 (Group × Trial) MANOVA with repeated measures on the second factor was conducted to assess effects on the oxidative stress biomarkers (8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$ and LPO). Univariate follow-up tests were conducted in the event of a significant multivariate effect.

For each univariate analysis, examination of the Huynh–Feldt (H–F) epsilon for the general model was used to test the assumption of sphericity. If this statistic was greater than



Figure 1. Resting levels of creatine kinase ($U \cdot L^{-1}$) before (Trial 1) and after (Trial 2) preseason camp in the experimental (EXP; $n = 12$) and the control (CON; $n = 10$) group. Change from Trial 1 to Trial 2 was significantly lower in the EXP group than in the CON group ($p = 0.044$).

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Table 2. Biochemical responses to performance testing at the beginning (Trial 1) and the end (Trial 2) of preseason training for the experimental and control groups before (t_0) and after (t_1) exercise (mean ± *SD*).*

	Experimental group (n = 12)				Control group (n = 10)			
	Trial 1		Trial 2		Trial 1		Trial 2	
	t_0	t_1	t_0	t_1	t_0	t_1	t_0	t_1
CK ($U \cdot L^{-1}$)	306.9 ± 183.9	372.6 ± 224.3	371.8 ± 197.9	458.6 ± 247.5	216.4 ± 127.7	272.3 ± 168.4	509.2 ± 309.3	589.7 ± 332.1
8-Iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$ ($pg \cdot mL^{-1}$)	31.3 ± 16.4	43.9 ± 15.1	32.0 ± 18.9	40.2 ± 18.9	26.7 ± 12.1	43.3 ± 21.2	32.1 ± 13.6	53.5 ± 19.8
LPO ($\mu M \cdot L^{-1}$)	1.2 ± 0.8	2.7 ± 1.4	1.5 ± 0.8	2.8 ± 1.4	1.6 ± 0.9	3.1 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 0.8	3.3 ± 0.5

*CK = creatine kinase; 8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$ = 8-isoprostanes; LPO = lipid hydroperoxides.

0.75, sphericity was considered to have been met, and the unadjusted univariate statistic was used. If epsilon was less than 0.75, a violation of the assumption of sphericity was considered to have occurred, and the H–F adjusted statistic was used to determine significance.

Because of the impact that even small effects may have on overall performance of athletes at this level and in accord with recent recommendations for statistical follow-up (20), effect sizes (ESs) were calculated to compare magnitude of changes in the experimental and control groups using Hedges' *g* formula for ES computation. This ES computation was used for all variables. Group data are expressed as mean ± *SD*, and statistical significance was set at the $p \leq 0.05$ level.

Results

After accounting for differences in the micronutrient profiles of the assigned supplements, dietary analysis revealed no significant differences between groups ($p = 0.72$). There was a significant multivariate main effect for Trial for the performance measures ($p = 0.02$). The multivariate main effect for Group ($p = 0.36$) and the multivariate Group × Trial interaction ($p = 0.72$) were not significant. Follow-ups indicated significant improvements across both groups for V_{LT} ($p = 0.045$), $\dot{V}O_2max$ ($p = 0.007$), and time-to-exhaustion ($p = 0.033$) from the beginning to the end of preseason. There was an average increase in V_{LT} of 0.8 ± 1.4 $km \cdot h^{-1}$ (ES = 0.43). $\dot{V}O_2max$ increased by an average of 2.1 ± 3.3 $ml \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$ (ES = 0.49), and there was also an average increase of 39.4 ± 77.4 seconds (ES = 0.46) in time-to-exhaustion. No significant changes in performance were seen as a function of supplementation ($p > 0.10$). Means ± *SD* and ESs as a function of supplement group can be found in Table 1.

Biochemical Measures

CK Response. There were no significant changes in the degree of CK response to the exercise test, with CK increasing in response to the test regardless of Group or Trial. There were, however, different trends in the magnitude of changes in baseline values between the groups (Figure 1). Although both groups had elevated CK at rest at Trial 2 compared with Trial 1, this increase was significantly smaller ($p = 0.044$) in the experimental group ($\Delta CK = 64.8 \pm 188.4\ U \cdot L^{-1}$; ES = 0.33) than in the control group ($\Delta CK = 292.8 \pm 304.8\ U \cdot L^{-1}$; ES = 1.24). Means ± *SD* as a function of group can be found in Table 2.

Oxidative Stress. There was a significant multivariate Group × Trial interaction for the oxidative stress measures ($p = 0.01$). The primary contributor to this multivariate effect was a significant Group × Trial interaction for 8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$ ($p = 0.004$). The Group × Trial interaction for LPO also approached significance ($p = 0.067$). The experimental group demonstrated a significant decrease in the magnitude of 8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$ response during testing at Trial 2 (Δ8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha} = 8.2 \pm 16.3\ pg \cdot mL^{-1}$) compared with Trial

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.



Figure 2. 8-Isoprostane (Δ8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$; pg·mL^{-1}) response to exercise testing before (Trial 1) and after (Trial 2) preseason camp in the experimental and the control group. There was a significant Group × Time interaction ($p = 0.004$).

1 (Δ8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$ = 12.6 ± 17.0 pg·mL^{-1}), ES = −0.74 (Figure 2). In contrast, the control group had an elevated 8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$ response at Trial 2 (Δ8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$ = 21.4 ± 16.1 pg·mL^{-1}) compared with that at Trial 1 (Δ8-iso $PGF_{2\alpha}$ = 16.6 ± 16.8 pg·mL^{-1}), ES = 0.20. The pattern of response was essentially identical for LPO. The experimental group showed a slight decrease in LPO response during testing at Trial 2 (ΔLPO = 1.31 ± 0.7 μmol·L^{-1}) compared with that at Trial 1 (ΔLPO = 1.52 ± 0.7 μmol·L^{-1}), ES = −0.27. The control group, though, had a slight increase in LPO response from Trial 1 (ΔLPO = 1.50 ± 0.6 μmol·L^{-1}) to Trial 2 (ΔLPO = 1.61 ± 0.6 μmol·L^{-1}), ES = 0.19. Means ± *SD* as a function of group can be found in Table 2.

Discussion

The findings of this study indicate that preseason training in male Division I college soccer players resulted in significant improvements in performance as indicated by increased V_{LT}, $\dot{V}O_2$max, and time-to-exhaustion. Any additional benefit to improvement on these measures as a function of supplementing with Resurgex® did not reach statistical significance. However, supplementation with Resurgex® resulted in blunted oxidative stress in response to maximal exercise and lower resting CK compared with the control group.

Results revealed that those in the experimental group had an attenuated F_2-isoprostane response at Trial 2 compared with that at Trial 1. In contrast, those in the control group had an elevated F_2-isoprostane response. The pattern of response for LPO was identical, though not statistically significant. Interestingly, Halliwell (10) has suggested that F_2-isoprostanes hold particular promise as biomarkers for studies examining the influence of antioxidant intake on chronic disease. Their formation has also been identified as a better indicator of oxidative stress than other markers of lipid peroxidation (17). The attenuated oxidative stress response is consistent with other studies using high antioxidant diets in athletes. For example, Schroder et al. (26) found that, compared with placebo, an antioxidant combination decreased LPO response in professional basketball players over the course of a season, and similar responses have also been seen with supplementation in swimmers (5). These results are also consistent with previous studies using isolated Glisodin® supplementation that have demonstrated a protective effect on DNA and reduced 8-isoprostane levels when exposed to hyperbaric oxygen-induced cell stress (19), enhanced antioxidant status and resistance to oxidative stress-induced apoptosis (28), and reduced inflammatory responses when injected with the proinflammatory cytokine IFN-gamma (29).

Despite the lack of statistical significance, it may be premature to conclude that there is no added benefit to performance when supplementing with Resurgex®, particularly in light of the biochemical findings. Examination of the performance ESs for the 2 groups demonstrates that, in all cases, the magnitude of the change in performance was larger for the experimental group vs. control group (V_{LT} ES = 0.93 vs. 0.44; $\dot{V}O_2$max ES = 0.57 vs. 0.34; time-to-exhaustion ES = 0.51 vs. 0.26). Given these trends coupled with the biochemical findings and the relatively short duration of the administration period, it is conceivable that the influence of Resurgex® or its constituent nutriceutical components is clinically significant despite lack of statistical significance. For example, previous findings have indicated that just a 5% increase in running economy can result in as much as a 1,000-m increase in distance covered during a soccer game (11). During the course of an intense training regimen, reductions in acute oxidative stress responses may allow for improved recovery and enhanced mitochondrial functioning. Given a longer period of supplementation, it is conceivable that the cumulative effects would become apparent in performance outcomes. Of course, it is entirely possible that this would not be the case and that oxidative stress attenuation may not be tightly coupled to performance improvements in this group of athletes. It has been noted (30) that studies in humans have not been able to demonstrate that antioxidant *restriction* impacts exercise performance. It

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

may also be that chronic administration of the supplement would exert effects on performance via indirect means. For example, Davis et al. (7) found that administration of oat-beta glucan, which is also found in Resurgex®, offset immunosuppressive effects of exercise in mice. This effect may be further magnified by the resistance to proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-α resulting from Glisodin® supplementation (29). Immune support would allow an athlete to stay healthy and continue training, thus leading to further performance improvements. Future research is clearly warranted to examine these issues.

The change in CK response during recovery instead of immediately following the exercise itself is consistent with previous research on BCAA supplementation and protein metabolism (3). As noted by Hoffman et al. (12), CK appears to be a particularly effective marker of muscle damage as a result of chronic exercise. This would explain why differences were seen in resting CK values at Trial 2 as opposed to immediately following the acute exercise test. It may be that the supplement does not attenuate acute muscle breakdown in response to exercise, but rather improves the rate of recovery from the acute bouts by modifying the anabolic vs. catabolic environment. Although it would have been ideal to perform a 24-hour follow-up with the athletes after each Trial to assess this, it was impossible given the context of the study. Any biochemical responses seen at 24 hours would likely not have been solely attributable to the exercise test itself as it would not have been feasible to require the athletes to refrain from any other physical training over this period.

To evaluate these proposed modifications to the anabolic vs. catabolic environment as a result of supplementation with Resurgex®, future research should consider directly assessing endocrine responses contributing to this effect. Both testosterone and cortisol have been found to be important markers of training stress and indicators of the predominance of either anabolic or catabolic processes, respectively (18). Previous research with college soccer players (15) suggests that a catabolic environment, evidenced by a decreased ratio of testosterone to cortisol, at the beginning of a competitive season can lead to progressive performance deterioration over the course of the season. A nutritional supplement capable of assisting in promoting an optimal biochemical and hormonal environment for recovery and fitness improvements should be of considerable interest to athletes and coaches alike.

Future research needs to examine the optimal dosage required to produce adaptive benefits, particularly for compounds such as Glisodin® that have not yet had dose–response effects established. The biochemical and performance effects of relatively short-term supplementation with Resurgex® may be meaningful effects for athletes of this level (and higher) and warrant further, long-term investigation into the adaptations that may occur and the mechanisms driving these responses.

Practical Applications

With exogenous supplementation of protective nutriceuticals such as those found in Resurgex®, it appears possible to reduce acute and chronic oxidative stress and muscle damage. Supplementing with Resurgex® also appears to provide some benefit for enhancing performance changes resulting from intense training, though these performance effects appear modest. The coach or athlete should consider whether these performance differences warrant use of Resurgex® during training and whether there may be long-term benefit to demonstrated reductions in markers of oxidative stress and CK response. Although the current research did not support a statistically significant enhancement because of supplementation with this particular product, it may be that even small benefits can prove advantageous for higher-level athletes seeking a competitive edge.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by a grant from Millennium Biotechnologies, Inc. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement of the product by the authors or by the National Strength and Conditioning Association. The authors are grateful to the athletes and coaches from the Rutgers Men's Soccer Team for their participation and cooperation. We would also like to thank Dr. Robert Monaco, Dr. Nicole Solomos, and Cynthia Jaouhari, BSN, for their assistance in medically screening the athletes and for their assistance with blood draws.

References

1. Alessio, HM. Exercise-induced oxidative stress. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 25: 218–224, 1993.
2. Barclay, JK and Hansel, M. Free radicals may contribute to oxidative skeletal muscle damage. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* 69: 279–284, 1991.
3. Blomstrand, E and Saltin, B. BCAA intake affects protein metabolism in muscle after but not during exercise in humans. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 281: E365–E374, 2001.
4. Bloomer, RJ, Goldfarb, AH, Wideman, L, McKenzie, MJ, and Consitt, LA. Effect of acute aerobic and anaerobic exercise on blood markers of oxidative stress. *J Strength Cond Res* 19: 276–285, 2005.
5. Cavas, L and Tarhan, L. Effects of vitamin-mineral supplementation on cardiac marker and radical scavenging enzymes, and MDA levels in young swimmers. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab* 14: 133–146, 2004.
6. Cheng, B, Kuipers, H, Snyder, AC, Keizer, HA, Jeukendrup, A, and Hesselink, M. A new approach for determination of ventilatory and lactate thresholds. *Int J Sports Med* 13: 518–522, 1992.
7. Davis, JM, Murphy, EA, Brown, AS, Carmichael, MD, Ghaffar, A, and Mayer, EP. Effects of oat beta-glucan on innate immunity and infection after exercise stress. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 36: 1321–1327, 2004.
8. Duarte, JA, Appell, HJ, Carvalho, F, Bastos, ML, and Soares, JM. Endothelium-derived oxidative stress may contribute to exercise-induced muscle damage. *Int J Sports Med* 14: 440–443, 1993.
9. Gay, CA and Gebicki, JM. Perchloric acid enhances sensitivity and reproducibility of the ferric–xylenol orange peroxide assay. *Anal Biochem.* 304: 42–46, 2002.
10. Halliwell, B. Establishing the significance and optimal intake of dietary antioxidants: The biomarker concept. *Nutr Rev* 57: 104–113, 1999.

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

11. Hoff, J and Helgerud, J. Endurance and strength training for soccer players: physiological considerations. *Sports Med* 34: 165–180, 2004.
12. Hoffman, JR, Cooper, J, Wendell, M, Im, J, and Kang, J. Effects of β-hydroxy β-methylbutyrate on power performance and indices of muscle damage and stress during high-intensity training. *J Strength Cond Res* 18: 747–752, 2004.
13. Jacob, RA and Burri, BJ. Oxidative damage and defense. *Am J Clinical Nutr* 63: S985–S990, 1996.
14. Kanter, MM, Nolte, L, and Holloszy, JO. Effect of an anti-oxidant vitamin mixture on lipid peroxidation at rest and postexercise. *J Appl Physiol* 74: 965–969, 1993.
15. Kraemer, WJ, French, DN, Paxton, NJ, Häkkinen, K, Volek, J, Sebastianelli, WJ, Putukian, M, Newton, RU, Rubin, MR, Gómez, AL, Vescovi, JD, Ratamess, NA, Fleck, SJ, Lynch, JM, and Knuttgen, HG. Changes in exercise performance and hormonal concentrations over a Big Ten soccer season in starters and nonstarters. *J Strength Cond Res* 18: 121–128, 2004.
16. Li, JX, Tong, CWC, Xu, DQ, and Chan, KM. Changes in membrane fluidity and lipid peroxidation of skeletal muscle mitochondria after exhaustive exercise in rats. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 80: 113–117, 1999.
17. Moore, K and Roberts, II LJ. Measurement of lipid peroxidation. *Free Radic Res* 28: 659–671, 1998.
18. Mujika, I, Chatard, JC, Padilla, S, Guezennec, CY, and Geyssant, A. Hormonal responses to training and its tapering off in competitive swimmers: relationships with performance. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 74: 361–366, 1996.
19. Muth, CM, Glenz, Y, Klaus, M, Radermacher, P, Speit, G, and Leverve, X. Influence of an orally effective SOD on hyperbaric oxygen-related cell damage. *Free Radical Res* 38: 927–932, 2004.
20. Nakagawa, S. A farewell to Bonferroni: The problems of low statistical power and publication bias. *Behav Ecol* 15: 1044–1045, 2004.
21. Nourooz-Zadeh, J, Tajaddini-Sarmadi, J, and Wolff, SP. Measurement of plasma hydroperoxide concentrations by ferrous oxidation-xylenol orange assay in conjunction with triphenylphosphine. *Ann Biochem* 22: 403–409, 1994.
22. Palazzetti, S, Rousseau, A, Richard, M, Favier, A, and Margaritis, I. Antioxidant supplementation preserves antioxidant response in physical training and low antioxidant intake. *Br J Nutr* 91: 91–100, 2004.
23. Palozza, P and Krinsky, N. Beta-carotene and alpha-tocopherol are synergistic antioxidants. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 297: 184–187, 1992.
24. Pilaczynska-Szczesniak, L, Skarpanska-Steinborn, A, Deskur, E, Basta, P, and Horoszkiewicz-Hassan, M. The influence of chokeberry juice supplementation on the reduction of oxidative stress resulting from an incremental rowing ergometer exercise. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab* 15: 48–58, 2005.
25. Regnault, C, Soursac, M, Roch-Arveiller, M, Postaire, E, and Hazebroucq, G. Pharmacokinetics of superoxide dismutase in rats after oral administration. *Biopharm Drug Dispos* 17: 165–174, 1996.
26. Schroder, H, Navarro, E, Tramullas, A, Mora, J, and Galiano, D. Nutrition antioxidant status and oxidative stress in professional basketball players: Effects of a three compound antioxidative supplement. *Int J Sports Med* 21: 146–150, 2000.
27. Stølen, T, Chamari, K, Castagna, C, and Wisløff, U. Physiology of soccer: An update. *Sports Med* 35: 501–536, 2005.
28. Vouldoukis, I, Conti, M, Krauss, P, Kamate, C, Blazquez, S, Tefit, M, Mazier, D, Calenda, A, and Dugas, B. Supplementation with gliadin-combined plant superoxide dismutase extract promotes antioxidant defences and protects against oxidative stress. *Phytother Res* 18: 957–962, 2004.
29. Vouldoukis, I, Lacan, D, Kamate, C, Coste, P, Calenda, A, Mazier, D, Conti, M, and Dugas, B. Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of a Cucumis melo LC. Extract rich in superoxide dismutase activity. *J Ethnopharmacol* 94: 67–75, 2004.
30. Watson, TA, Callister, R, Taylor, RD, Sibbritt, DW, Macdonald-Wicks, LK, and Garg, ML. Antioxidant restriction and oxidative stress in short-duration exhaustive exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 37: 63–71, 2005.
31. Wiswedel, I, Hirsch, D, Kropf, S, Gruening, M, Pfister, E, Schewe, T, and Sies, H. Flavonol-rich cocoa drink lowers plasma F(2)-isoprostane concentrations in humans. *Free Radic Biol Med* 37: 411–421, 2004.

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.